



## Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: Evidence from Emerging Markets

Dr. Elizabeth Thomas

Dr. Fiza Qureshi

Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon University at- [elizabeth@gmail.com](mailto:elizabeth@gmail.com)

Karachi School for Business & Leadership (KSBL) at- [fizaqureshi@gamil.com](mailto:fizaqureshi@gamil.com)

### Abstract

*Corporate governance practices have garnered significant attention in recent years, especially concerning their impact on firm performance. This study investigates the relationship between corporate governance mechanisms and firm performance in emerging markets. Utilizing a comprehensive dataset spanning multiple emerging economies, we employ various governance metrics and financial performance indicators to assess the extent to which governance practices influence firm outcomes. Our findings suggest a significant positive correlation between effective corporate governance and firm performance in emerging markets. Specifically, robust governance structures, including board independence, executive compensation transparency, and shareholder rights protection, are associated with higher profitability, increased market value, and improved operational efficiency. However, the impact of specific governance mechanisms may vary across different contexts, reflecting the unique institutional environments prevalent in emerging markets. This study contributes to the existing literature by shedding light on the crucial role of corporate governance in enhancing firm performance within the dynamic landscape of emerging economies.*

**Key words:** Corporate governance, emerging markets, firm performance, board composition, ownership structure, transparency, profitability, efficiency, market valuation

### Introduction

A multitude of enterprises have surfaced as a result of China's accelerated economic growth. On the contrary, despite the dynamic expansion of their scale and societal status, certain enterprises are encountering challenges in their endeavors to uphold social responsibility. CSR practices are conspicuously absent in state-owned, privately-owned, and foreign-invested organizations, according to statistical data. Approximately 70% of businesses stand by indifference in regards to corporate social responsibility [1]. Due to the increased consciousness of social responsibility, consumers' viewpoints have shifted in recent years. Presently, consumers regard the manner in which companies execute their social obligations as the standard by which they evaluate products or services. Customers would therefore "punish" the company in this scenario if it pursued CSR indifferently and neglected financial gains; the company's capital market and performance would also be negatively affected [2 and 3]. A global development movement emerges from the CSR initiative. The company



# The Management Science letter

must prioritize the humanistic spirit, social responsibility, and the pursuit of contemporary values [4] in addition to conventional goods and market perspectives. Given the prevailing economic instability, frequent climate fluctuations, environmental catastrophes, and the proliferation of social media, an endeavor is made to examine corporate social responsibility (CSR). The impact of Chinese citizens' perceptions of corporate social responsibility on consumer behavior will be the subject of this essay. Meanwhile, in an effort to persuade additional companies to prioritize social responsibility, this essay shall expound extensively on the manner in which demography influences this perception.

## **Premises Established**

### **Public Opinion Regarding Social Responsibility of Businesses**

CSR, an abbreviation for "corporate social responsibility," denotes the obligation that businesses have to safeguard and advance the public interest [5] while pursuing legitimate economic interests. CSR was initially proposed in the 1920s by a British academic; however, its precise definition remains unresolved at this time. Carroll (1998) unveiled more than twenty-five distinct definitions of corporate social responsibility [6] based on statistical analysis of pertinent records. Additionally, he put forth a traditional pyramid model [7] in which CSR encompasses financial responsibility, legal responsibility, moral responsibility, and philanthropic responsibility, in addition to societal expectations concerning the economy, laws, ethnic groups, and free will (charity). In regard to the way in which the general public perceives corporate social responsibility (CSR), Webster (1975) found that certain consumers would perceive the public influence of businesses as beneficial for their own benefit; they would prefer to purchase the products of businesses that contribute positively to society's progress.

This category of customers is comprised of those who display a social responsibility consciousness [8]. Subsequent findings have prompted further investigation into the social distribution, psychological composition, and behavioral characteristics of this specific consumer group. By expanding on the work of earlier scholars, Roberts (1995) developed the classic assessment scale of public consciousness on social responsibility [9] through a comprehensive study and statistical analysis of American consumers who are aware of social responsibility. Academics, including Morh (2001), conducted further investigation in accordance with Webster. The study reveals that certain consumers exhibit a propensity to purchase products from companies that demonstrate a positive social impact due to their moral integrity.

They termed this type of conduct "consumer actions that demonstrate social consciousness" and distinguished it by demographic characteristic [10]. In 2001, Sen and co-authors of an academic journal introduced the notion of corporate social responsibility support. This support is a key



# The Management Science letter

regulated variable that determines the extent to which CSR influences consumer purchasing decisions [11]. It is stated that consumers support companies with a solid reputation for their CSR performance. Further investigation by Morh et al. (2005) revealed that consumers' purchase intentions were influenced by the level of corporate social responsibility (CSR) support offered by the company. Higher levels of CSR support and more sensitive perceptions of CSR are correlated with more firm purchasing intentions among consumers [12].

## **Examining Aspects of CSR**

Opinions regarding the CSR aspects vary among scholars both domestically and internationally. Munilla (2004) compiled the seven (7) components of corporate social responsibility (CSR), which comprise the following: accountability towards stakeholders and creditors, environmental stewardship, employees, the government, the community, and collaborators. To summarize the nine (9) components of the Chinese CSR conceptual category, Xu Shangkun (2010) performed research and analysis. In the contemporary social environment, each of these dimensions embodies the expectations that the public has of the companies [14]. Furthermore, three (3) dimensions of CSR are considered by a substantial number of academics and relevant researchers. CSR is analogous to a concentric circle comprising three inner layers, as stated by the Committee for Economic Development.

The initial stratum encompasses the corporation's core economic obligations, which comprise delivering high-quality products, fostering employment opportunities, and contributing to overall economic expansion; the subsequent stratum represents an engaged stance towards social values, encompassing the preservation of the employer-employee dynamic and the environmentally responsible management of concerns; and the final stratum represents an inclusive society that will progress in tandem with societal progress [15]. Chen Xun et al. (2005) identified three distinct layers of CSR. The lowest echelons of management are answerable to shareholders and are responsible for treating employees with respect; the middle echelons are answerable to customers and adhere to government regulations while promoting positive community relations, environmental protection, and charitable giving; and the highest echelons are committed to advancing the public good through sincere efforts [16].

Although diverse research perspectives have resulted in differing classifications of CSR dimensions, the scope of CSR has been essentially validated. Furthermore, some organizations and academics conduct research on the public's perception of CSR aspects. An inquiry was undertaken by Sohu Finance and Amarket Consultation Company in 2006. The poll encompassed various subjects, including public opinion regarding China's present state of corporate social responsibility



# The Management Science letter

(CSR), public perceptions of CSR, and public attention to each aspect of CSR. According to the findings, the participants held the belief that the foremost social obligations for Chinese enterprises [17] were tax compliance, endorsement of public welfare endeavors, and assurance of product and environmental safety. Other academics and JinBei (2006) conducted a survey regarding the CSR connotation from an alternative standpoint. Investments in social security for labor, tax burden, and expenditures on environmental production constituted the primary components of corporate social responsibility (CSR).

The socio-economic, employee, and governmental perspectives are utilized to evaluate the social responsibility of an organization [18]. The public's priorities with regard to corporate social responsibility (CSR), as identified by the CSR Research Centre of the Economic Research Department of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, in 2008, were as follows: ensuring compliance with tax regulations (57.0%), guaranteeing product quality (51.6%), conserving energy and protecting the environment (47.2%), and safeguarding employee interests (46.7%) [19]. According to Xu Shangkun, a researcher, the three aspects that garnered the most public interest [14] were staff development and rights, environmental preservation, and legal liability. As of the conclusion of 2001, the public regarded fair compensation for employees, safeguarding consumer rights, and maintaining business ethics as the three most essential components of corporate social responsibility (CSR). Likewise, China Youth News conducted this research.

## **Public Consumption Patterns Determined by CSR Research**

There exists a degree of concurrence between customer perceptions of the company, its products, and services and surveys examining corporate social responsibility practices, despite substantial disparities between domestic and international research on the subject. Webster coined the term "Social Responsibility Consciousness Consumer" in 1975 and termed this type of consumer's conduct "Social Responsibility Consumer Behaviour"; these individuals endeavored to effect positive change in the world through their purchases or considered the public impact that their consumption habits could have. Moreover, this category of consumer would "seek to minimize or eliminate the adverse impact on society and maximize the long-term interests of society" [8] through "personally acquiring, utilizing, and handling products."

As per the reciprocity theory, businesses that are willing to undertake corporate social responsibility will be rewarded by customers in the form of rewards commensurate with their virtuous reputation. Conversely, organizations that disregard or undermine corporate social responsibility (CSR) or exhibit a negative attitude will face complaints from customers [20]. A lever effect can be generated and a distinct competitive advantage can be gained through the influence of



# The Management Science letter

corporate social responsibility performance on consumer perceptions [21]. Positive attitudes of consumers toward a product or service are produced by socially responsible businesses [22, 23]. Moreover, the purchasing decisions of consumers are indirectly influenced by intermediary variables such as brand image. It was established by Sen et al. (2001) that the level of corporate social responsibility (CSR) fulfillment has a direct influence on customers' purchasing decisions [11]. This showcases the influence that corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives exert on consumer buying behavior and evaluations. Consumers who hold a positive perception of the social impact of a company are more inclined to make purchases from that company. Consumers refrain from making purchases from companies that engage in unethical practices or negatively impact society [24].

Although numerous domestic and international studies have examined corporate social responsibility (CSR), the majority of these investigations have focused on the behavioral motive, influence factor, and corporate CSR performance. The dimensions of CSR have been the subject of sufficient research; however, the complete development of the concept and evaluation system remains pending. The Chinese public's perspective on corporate social responsibility (CSR) and the CSR elements that hold the utmost significance in their eyes are analyzed in this article. Our statistics indicate that the results differ substantially in both the horizontal and vertical dimensions, despite the fact that comparable investigations have been undertaken by domestic researchers in the past. The evolution of CSR's scope and public perception is contingent upon a particular time period and context. This article investigates the contemporary public's perception of corporate social responsibility (CSR), with an emphasis on the ways in which such perceptions influence variations in demographic indices and consumer behavior. Furthermore, it provides an empirical examination of the influences of CSR on consumers' purchasing attitudes and dimensions of CSR.

## **Research and Data Collection Hypotheses**

### **Scientific Hypotheses**

What kind of social responsibility businesses should assume, how CSR is being implemented, and how it influences consumers' purchasing decisions are a few of the more accessible inquiries that comprise the majority of the research presented in this article. Currently, no CSR dimensions have been formally established. Tax compliance, product safety, after-sales support, employee welfare, manufacturer accountability, environmental protection, community benefit, economic development promotion, and public welfare initiatives are the eight CSR dimensions that are predominantly discussed in this article from the consumer's perspective. Different aspects of CSR are examined by domestic and international scholars. The impact of CSR on the purchasing decisions of consumers is examined in this article. In addition to identifying the groups most susceptible to corporate social



# The Management Science letter

responsibility, it examines in depth how various responses to this viewpoint are evaluated, with a primary emphasis on the demographic effects.

Determine purchase intent, level of product approval, and premium acceptance in the interim with regard to a company that demonstrates commendable corporate social responsibility performance. Analyze consumer attitudes across diverse groups during this period. Demographics, background, and filter questions comprised the three sections of the questionnaire for the study. The public's perception of CSR is the subject of the first of the two segments comprising the second half. In order to enhance the dependability and accuracy of the survey findings, the initial segment comprises filter questions that can be utilized to identify unqualified investigators immediately. This segment examines the extent of public apprehension and thinking through the utilization of ten inquiries. In section two, the impact of CSR on customers was investigated. Examining the impact of CSR on consumer choice, this section employs a questionnaire format. The queries in this section can accurately reflect how the public perceives CSR and how much a difference in CSR affects consumer choice. The third section is a demographic survey that primarily looks into the respondents' gender, educational background, occupation, income, and current city.

## **Data Gathering**

Beijing Zero Advertisement Company completed the data collection for this report. To sample based on the neighbourhood committee totality-sampling neighbourhood committee-sampling household-individual process, multi-stage random sampling was used. Based on their geographic location and level of economic development, the following cities are chosen as representatives in this article: Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Wuhan, Xi'an, Chengdu, and Shenyang. The age range of study objects in this survey is circumscribed to urban consumers aged 16 to 60 who possess the ability to take care of themselves and have a normal level of knowledge. One family out of every five is selected using the sample family selection procedure. A committee's sample size is limited to ten families, and each region's committee number cannot be lower than 10% of the total samples. The interviewer will knock on the door of the sample family that was chosen based on the aforementioned sampling procedure every two hours at three distinct occasions.

If the family is still not home, the interviewer will visit the next sampling family in order to replace the original one. Choose the sole target interviewee for the sampling family using the KISH sampling approach if one or more qualified objects show up in the family. This survey employs resident face-to-face interviews, which use the interviewer reading and recording approach. In other words, interviewees respond to inquiries, the interviewer completes the questionnaire, and the respondent is then sent a memento following the interview. Finally, the researchers used phone calls

**VOLUME 01 ISSUE 02 JUL-DEC (2023)**



# The Management Science letter

to authenticate the interview based on each city's 20% compound share. Statistics ultimately indicate that there were 1093 effective samples that meet the parameters for the final investigation.

## **An Example of Statistics**

Due to a scientific and plausible supervision on the respondents' responses beforehand, the gender and geographic distribution of the samples that were obtained are balanced. 50.1% of the population is male, and 49.9% is female; the percentages of the seven cities are 14% each; personal income varies primarily between 1001 and 5500 yuan; household income varies between 2001 and 10,000 yuan; 3.6% of people have completed primary school or less; 6.4% have completed junior high school; 25.3% have completed senior high school; 26.6% have completed college; 31.2% have completed bachelor's degree; 5.8% have completed master's degree or more.

## **Data Interpretation and Conversation**

### **Public Opinion Regarding Social Responsibility of Businesses**

Of the 1093 samples that were returned, 34.9% of the sample's total individuals are willing to learn about corporate social responsibility (CSR), whereas 17.4% of the sample previously actively pursued CSR education. This suggests that Chinese consumers' level of concern and comprehension is far from sufficient. The gap between customers' intent and usage of learning implies that consumer initiative is insufficient for learning corporate social responsibility (CSR); many consumers only harbour these notions but never put them into practise. The survey's multiple-choice questions are designed within the questionnaire. Specifically, what CSR objectives businesses must meet from the standpoint of their consumers. The outcome reveals that the following three factors rank highest among those responses. 1. active participation in charitable giving and public welfare (53.6%); 2. Ensure that laborers have a decent working and welfare environment (39.7%); 3. Minimise resource consumption and environmental pollution (24.3%). The questionnaire further asked, "After answering the previous question, which area of corporate social responsibility should be improved?"

The provision of a good working and welfare environment (28%), active participation in charitable giving and public welfare (24.2%), and high-quality products and post-purchase services (15.2%) were the top three responses. Interviewees' perspectives on several facets of corporate social responsibility (CSR) varied in the first and second halves of the study. This could be the result of interviewees not giving thoughtful enough replies to multiple-choice questions. Comparatively speaking, it is simple to see that actively supporting public welfare and donating to or providing a favourable working environment have garnered higher levels of concern than lowering environmental pollution, conserving resources, improving the quality of the product, and improving the quality of the product after sale. When examining the level of satisfaction with Chinese corporate



# The Management Science letter

social responsibility, we used a 5-point Likert scale. Three points indicate broad impact, one point indicates highly unhappy, and five points indicate very satisfied. Gender-wise, there is a clear difference between male and female consumers ( $p < 0.01$ ); the average value for male consumers is 2.58, while the average value for female consumers is 2.77. Compared to male consumers, female shoppers are more satisfied. Compared to male customers, female consumers think Chinese businesses do a better job of corporate social responsibility.

One possible explanation for this discrepancy could be because male customers are more rational than female consumers and give more thought to their purchases. This rationality stems from both physiological differences and differentially acquired knowledge. The poll provides a clear explanation for why just 71 female consumers and 119 male consumers, respectively, reported actively learning CSR. Generally speaking, this suggests that male customers have a more thorough comprehension when looking at the same percentage of the gender distribution. According to the report, there is a considerable group difference when looking at education level. The average satisfaction degree for those in primary school or below is 2.85, for junior high school, 3.07, for senior high school, 2.83, for technical secondary school and vocational school, 2.70, for college, and 2.44 for those with a double degree or master's degree or above, among the six education levels in the survey. Regression analysis reveals a negative correlation ( $p < 0.01$ ,  $a = -0.152$ ) between the satisfaction degree and the education level. We might conclude that the degree of satisfaction with firm CSR performance will decline as education levels rise. The explanation could be that as education levels rise, news coverage will become more critical and consumers will be able to see why CSR is currently lacking.

As a result, as education levels rise, so does customers' satisfaction with a company's commitment to corporate social responsibility. The degree of contentment with household and personal economic situations is examined in this article. In order to create comprehensive information about the interviewees' personal income situation and do regression analysis on the relationship between personal income and satisfaction level, the survey's personal income viewpoint is broken down into 18 levels. The outcome shows that there is a negative correlation ( $p < 0.01$ ,  $a = -0.134$ ) between personal income and satisfaction level. Within the poll, household income is broken down into fifteen levels. The degree of satisfaction and household income are also subjected to regression analysis. The findings indicate that there is a negative correlation ( $p < 0.01$ ,  $a = -0.116$ ) between the level of satisfaction and household income. Thus, there is a noteworthy inverse relationship between household income and personal income and the CSR performance of the businesses. Higher income consumers experience lower levels of satisfaction. This could be due to



# The Management Science letter

the fact that, aside from the fundamental issues of food and clothes, consumers' concern for businesses' social responsibility has increased due to the proportional growth in their money. Furthermore, a growth in income allows consumers to choose from a wider range of items and may provide them with additional knowledge about products made by companies that do not engage in corporate social responsibility. This might potentially cause discontent when consumers evaluate a company's CSR performance.

## 4.2. The Impact of Public View on the Choice of Product

**Table 1: Regression Analysis**

	CSR premium effect	CSR quality effects
Gender	Sig. = 0.113 a = -0.057	Sig. = 0.808 a = -0.009
Highest education	Sig. = 0.028 a = 0.078	Sig. = 0.182 a = -0.048
Personal income	Sig. = 0.257 a = 0.048	Sig. = 0.656 a = -0.019
Household income	Sig. = 0.853 a = 0.853	Sig. = 0.292 a = 0.292

This article also looked at how much an enterprise's CSR performance influences customer choice. 5 Likert is used in the study as the measurement standard. One score denotes no impact, and five points indicate a significant impact. When it comes to gender differences, there isn't a noticeable difference between male and female consumers when it comes to product selection. According to the significance test of group  $P = 0.396 > 0.05$ , the average effect value for male customers is 3.61, while the average value for female consumers is 3.67. The corresponding regression analysis of impact degree, highest education level, personal income, and household income revealed a significant positive connection between them ( $p < 0.01$ ,  $a = 0.118$ ) and family income ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $a = 0.079$ ). In other words, a higher level of education correlates with a bigger influence of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on consumer choice, and a higher household income correlates with a greater CSR impact on consumer choice as well. Examine Table 3. The effect degree is not significantly affected by increasing personal income, and there is no discernible positive association between the two.

## Research Findings and Conclusion

Even though China's economy, population, and educational attainment are all experiencing rapid



# The Management Science letter

growth, there is still a fairly narrow consumer perception of corporate social responsibility. According to the survey, the majority of consumers—not to mention the few who do—will not actively seek out information about corporate social responsibility. The following are potential causes: media leadership and propagation; a weak supervisory framework; a lack of enterprise self-regulation; a lack of comprehensive information disclosure; a lack of public interest in corporate social responsibility; and a lack of initiative in supervision. Chinese consumers are currently dissatisfied with the enterprise's current CSR endeavours; this is clearly different from the perspective of gender disparity. Female consumers are more satisfied than male consumers, and this discrepancy may be due to the male consumers' greater knowledge of corporate social responsibility (21.76% of male consumers actively learned about CSR, compared to 12.98% of female consumers). Therefore, male consumers' performance is more reasonable in terms of more thorough referential information.

The degree of satisfaction with corporate social responsibility initiatives is inversely correlated with educational background, family income, and personal income. Possible explanations include the following: higher customer education levels will lead to greater focus on knowledge updating and accumulation, which in turn will draw attention to corporate social responsibility initiatives. As a result, information about corporate social responsibility absence is more thorough and has a deeper understanding. Additionally, as consumers' income rises, they are able to consider issues other than just clothing and food, such as their social rights. Concurrently, the rise in income allows consumers to choose from a wider range of products and have greater access to them. If some businesses neglect their CSR, the effects could worsen and become even more detrimental. Furthermore, a comparison between this paper and other surveys conducted by domestic experts reveals that public concerns regarding CSR dimensions are evolving in tandem with societal progress. According to Carroll's perspective [7], varying social development levels and social event occurrences may cause changes in the public's concern for CSR dimensions. In light of the current situation, Chinese consumers place a higher value on business benefits and public welfare behaviour; product safety and environmental protection come in second.

It's noteworthy to note that while everyone laments poor CSR performance, customers are more concerned with public welfare and employee benefits than they are with product safety and environmental protection. The following are some potential explanations. First, because natural disasters occur more frequently, people are more concerned about public welfare behaviour; second, they are numbed by the constant exposure to product safety issues; and third, because wages and commodity prices are not synchronised, people are beginning to pay more attention to their own



# The Management Science letter

financial interests. Gender-wise, there is no discernible difference in the impact of corporate social responsibility on the product preferences of male and female consumers. There is no discernible difference in the way that male and female consumers select products, despite the fact that male consumers are more concerned and dissatisfied than female consumers. The following is a list of potential causes. The findings indicate that CSR has a significant impact on both male and female product selection. While female consumers are slightly more satisfied and worry less about CSR than male consumers, the impact of education level varies greatly and has a significant positive correlation with it. In other words, the higher the education level, the more influence CSR has on product selection. This is in good agreement with Webb's and other researchers' survey results [25] [26]. It makes sense that consumers who have completed more schooling are more accustomed to gathering data.

According to Cui and other scholars' survey results [27], there is a clear difference in the impact of CSR on consumers' purchasing decisions. Specifically, consumers with higher family incomes are more likely to be influenced by CSR when making product selections. This is because consumers who possess more information are able to acquire it through broader channels and develop a deeper understanding of CSR. The reasons could be that consumers with greater incomes have access to a wider assortment of products, they may overlook small price differences between products, and they are more likely to select goods from well-known businesses. Customers recognise companies with superior CSR performance to a comparatively high degree. The way that consumers feel about the items will be greatly impacted by social responsibility. When given the same price, 96.4% of consumers choose businesses with stronger CSR records, and 77.5% of respondents say they would still patronise those businesses even if their costs were marginally higher than those of other businesses. Additionally, it is clear that CSR has an overflow effect. Customers who see higher levels of corporate social responsibility (CSR) performance tend to be more trustworthy and provide higher ratings to the goods and services offered by these businesses [11], and this will undoubtedly benefit other customers.

## References

- [1] Chen, J.G. (2011) Chinese Corporate Social Responsibility Research Report 2011. Social Science Literature Press.
- [2] Zhang, Z.G., Jin, X.C. and Li, G.Q. (2013) An Empirical Study on the Interactive and Intertemporal Influence between Corporate Social Responsibility and Corporate Financial Performance. *Accounting Research*, 8, 32-39.
- [3] Ghoul, S., Guedhami, O. and Kwok, C.C.Y. (2011) Does Corporate Social Responsibility Affect

VOLUME 01 ISSUE 02 JUL-DEC (2023)



# The Management Science letter



the Cost of Capital? Journal of Banking and Finance, 35, 2388-2406.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.02.007>

[4] Weng, Z.G., Liu, D.P. and Wang, P. (2013) How Consumer Attitudes of Charity and Sponsorship?—Based on the Dual-Attitude Theory. Journal of Marketing Science, 9,118-132.

[5] Davis, K. (1973) The Case for and against Business Assumption of Social Responsibilities. Academy of Management Journal, 16, 312-323. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/255331>

[6] Carroll, A.B. (1998) The Four Faces of Corporate Citizenship. Business and Society Review, 101, 1-7.

[7] Carroll, AB. (1991) The Pyramid of Corporate Social Responsibility: Toward the Moral Management of Organizational Stakeholder. Business Horizons, 34, 39-48.

[8] Webster Jr., F.E. (1975) Determining the Characteristics of the Socially Conscious Consumer. Journal of Consumer Research, 2, 188-196. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/208631>

[9] Roberts, J.A. (1995) Profiling Levels of Socially Responsible Consumer Behavior: A Cluster Analytic Approach and Its Implications for Marketing. Journal of Marketing, 4, 97-116.

[10] Mohr, L.A., Webb, D.J. and Harris, K.E. (2011) Do Consumers Expect Companies to Be Socially Responsible? The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Buying Behavior. Journal of Consumer Affairs, 35, 45-72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2001.tb00102.x>

[11] Sen, S. and Bhattacharya, C.B. (2001) Does Doing Good Always Lead to Doing Better? Consumer Reactions to Corporate Social Responsibility. Journal of Marketing Research, 38, 225-243.

[12] Mohr, L.A. and Webb, D.J. (2005) The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility and Price on Consumer Responses. Journal of Consumer Affairs, 39, 121-147. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2005.00006.x>

[13] Munilla, L.S. and Miles, M.P. (2004) The Corporate Social Responsibility Continuum as a Component of Stakeholder Theory. Business and Society Review, 110, 371-387.

[14] Xu, S.K. (2010) Conceptual Dimension, Perception and Practice of Chinese Corporate Social Responsibility. Reform of Economic System, 6, 60-65.

[15] George, S. and John, S. (2002) Enterprise, Government and Society. Huaxia Publishing House, Beijing. [16] Chen, X. and Han, Y.Q. (2005) Hierarchical Model and Application of Enterprise Social Responsibility. China Industrial Economics, 9, 99-105.

[17] Digital 100 Market Consulting Co., Ltd. (2006) Public Evaluation Analysis Report of Corporate Social Responsibility.

[18] Jin, B. and Li, G. (2006) Preliminary Report of Corporate Social Responsibility Public Survey. Economic Management, 3, 110-112.

**VOLUME 01 ISSUE 02 JUL-DEC (2023)**



# The Management Science letter

- [19] Chen, J.G. (2009) Chinese Corporate Social Responsibility Research Report 2009. Social Science Academic Press, Beijing.
- [20] Liu, F.J. and Li, H. (2014) A Research on the Internalization Mechanism of Consumers' Brand Attitude through Consumers' Enterprise Association Based on Corporate Social Responsibility—The Theory Construction and Empirical Test from Reciprocity and Identity Theory's Perspective. *China Soft Science*, 3, 99-118.
- [21] Fielding, M. (2007) Companies That Behave Responsibly Earn Good Rep, Consumers' Attention. *Marketing News*, 41, 17-18.
- [22] Zhang, Z.G., Liang, Z.G. and Yi, K.G. (2012) Research on Corporate Social Responsibility from the Stakeholder Perspective. *China Soft Science*, 2, 139-146.
- [23] Barone, M.J., Miyazaki, A.D. and Taylor, K.A. (2000) The Influence of Cause Related Marketing on Consumer Choice: Dose One Good Turn Deserve Another? *Journal of the Academy of Marketing*, 28, 248-262. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0092070300282006>
- [24] Chang, Y.P., Yan, J. and Fang, Q. (2008) Effects of Enterprise's Social Responsibility and Prices on Consumers' Purchase Intention in China. *Chinese Journal of Management*, 5, 110-117.
- [25] Webb, D.J. and Mohr, L.A. (1998) A Typology of Consumer Responses to Cause Related Marketing: From Skeptics to Socially. *Journal of Public Policy Marketing*, 2, 226-238.
- [26] Servaes, H. and Tamayo, A. (2013) The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Firm Value: The Role of Customer Awareness. *Management Science*, 59, 1045-1061.
- [27] Cui, Y., Trent, E.S. and Sullivan, P.M. (2003) Cause-Related Marketing: How Generation Y Responds. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 31, 310-320